



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/295,269	04/20/1999	IGOR Y. KHANDROS	3401P2D7D1US	6987

7590 04/18/2002

JAMES C. SCHELLER, JR.
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD
SEVENTH FLOOR
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025

EXAMINER

CUNEO, KAMAND

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2827	

DATE MAILED: 04/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
91295267		
Examiner Cuncio	Group Art Unit 2827	140

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address--

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Status

Responsive to communication(s) filed on 9/17/01 & 2/4/02
 This action is FINAL.
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, **prosecution as to the merits is closed** in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

Claim(s) 87-91, 95-153 is/are pending in the application.
 Of the above claim(s) 115-153 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 Claim(s) 87-91, 95-114 is/are rejected.
 Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction or election requirement.

Application Papers

See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948.
 The proposed drawing correction, filed on 2/4/02 is approved disapproved.
 The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are objected to by the Examiner.
 The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)

Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
 All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been
 received.
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) _____
 received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

*Certified copies not received: _____

Attachment(s)

Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _____ Interview Summary, PTO-413
 Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892 Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 Other _____

Office Action Summary

DETAILED ACTION

Treatment of Claims Based on Prior Art

35 U.S.C. § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claims 87-91, 95, 98-102, 106-110 and 112-114 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kanji et al. (US 5067007, hereafter Kanji).

Claim 87: Kanji discloses resilient elongated element (11), figures 1A, 1C and D., which has a contact tip structure (the end adjacent the surface (4)). This tip structure is attached only to the end of the elongated element (nonreleasable so at the time that the contact conducts electricity) and to no other part of it. The limitation that the tip was previously formed in another substrate and then connected to the elongated element is a method limitation that does not structurally distinguish over Kanji. See MPEP 2113 and *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964,966.

Claim 88: The tip structure is formed with an end which is considered as a sharp point, given the size of the surface area of the end relative to other dimensions of the structure.

Claim 89: See layers (11B) and (12) at the end.

Claim 90: The structure is formed as a part of a cantilevered structure, because, prior to connection to the chip, only one end of the structure was attached.

Claim 91: The flexible core is (11A) and the shell is (11B).

Claims 101-102: See Column 7 at line 65 for the material of the layer on the core or the shell.

Claim 95: The diameter of the core can be .25-.3 mm, column 2 at line 22, less the thickness of the shell layer, top of column 8, (too small to affect the above number to more than 1/1000).

Claim 98: The structural limitations of this claim are identical to that of claim 87 and the shell is layer (11B).

Claims 99-100: As the material of the shell is the same as the claimed invention, the physical properties of yield and tensile strength are inherently met.

Claim 106: The substrate is (1).

Claim 107: See figure 1A.

Claim 108: The product resulting from this product-by-process claim is the same as the structure of Kanji. Therefore, Kanji properly anticipates this claim.

Claim 109: The core is (11A) and the shell is (11B).

Claim 110: For the material see column 7 at line 65.

Claim 112: The Cu of the core is taught at column 7, line 63. The Cu has small amounts of Be in the form impurity.

Claim 113: The structural limitations of this claim are identical to that of claim 109, and therefore taught by Kanji.

Claim 114: Consider the substrate (4) and the component package (2). The first intimate bond is that of the core with conductive contact terminal (8) and the second intimate bond is where the layer (11B) contacts (8) adjacent the first bond.

35 U.S.C. § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103[®] and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claim 96-97, 103-105 and 111 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kanji .

Claims 103 and 111: Kanji discloses the claimed invention as explained with respect to claims 92, 87 and 110 except for the core being made of Au. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to make the core from Au for superior electrical conductivity, because gold is a routinely used as a contact structure in the electronic industry.

Claim 96: Kanji discloses the claimed invention as explained with respect to claim 87 except for the diameter of the core.

Kanji discloses that the core can be reduced from the usual size to 0.3 mils, column 2 at lines 20-

22. Nevertheless it would have been obvious to make the diameter of the core any size necessary including 0.5-3 mils, because selection of any known diameter based on design requirements is well known. The diameter may be chosen larger to adapt the structure for higher current carrying capacity, for better mechanical support or for reduced manufacturing cost in low lead density packages where smaller contact structure sizes are not needed.

Claim 97: Kanji discloses the claimed invention as explained with respect to claim 87 except for the length of the core. Nevertheless it would have been obvious to make the length of the core any size necessary to yield the spacing of the package and board as mandated by design requirements, because selection of the size of contact structures to meet dimensional requirements is a matter of common sense.

Claims 104-105: Kanji discloses the claimed invention as explained with respect to claim 91 except for the thickness of the shell being 0.25 to 10 mils. Kanji discloses that the shell can be made of gold, but only discloses that a thickness of $1\mu\text{m}$ is required. Nevertheless, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to make the thickness of the gold shell 0.25 to 10 mils for better conductivity and better protection of the core, because selection of the thickness of a coating layer depends on design requirements and is within the level of ordinary skill. As gold is a soft metal, increase of the thickness of the shell will not adversely affect the mechanical properties of the structures.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments have been carefully reviewed, but are not persuasive.

The arguments, beginning on page 4, state that the structures of Kanji are not freestanding because they are attached at both ends and, more particularly, the tip is not releasable attached to the

substrate while being nonreleasable attached to the elongated element. First, as has been brought up several times before with respect to the argument of "freestanding" in parent and related applications for this invention, freestanding does not mean unattached. The contacts can be freestanding, yet connected at the ends. Applicant's own contacts are soldered at the ends as well. The contacts of Kanji are freestanding because they can stand independent of another support. On the statement that the contact tips are not releasable attached to the substrate, comparison of the substrate of Kanji with this substrate is improper. The substrate recited in the claim is a part of the apparatus for making the tip structure; it is not present in the final product and is not shown in Kanji. Therefore, it cannot be compared to the substrate of Kanji. This substrate is a part of the method of making the contacts which does not further define the product as stated in the rejection. In any case, with respect to the new limitation that the tip is nonreleasable attached to the elongated portion, this is indeed true of the structure of Kanji.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Serial Number: 09/295269
Art Unit: 2827

7

Closing

7. Any inquiries concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Kamand Cuneo at (703) 308-1233. Examiner Cuneo's supervisor is Mr. David L. Talbott whose telephone number is (703) 305-9883.



K. Cuneo
Primary Examiner
April 15, 2002